## Questions to guide a reporter's thinking on transportation policy and investment proposals

- 1. Who wins; who loses? Are we robbing Peter to pay Paul? Who is Peter? Who is Paul?
- 2. What is the cost per person (or person-mile, or vehicle-mile) served? Does it pass the laugh test?
- 3. What is the relationship between what the user pays and the total cost of this expenditure?
- 4. Are we using actual realistic values or best-possible-situation estimates? What is the track record of such cost estimates/ridership estimates by this group and others in the past?
- 5. Is the public sector doing something the private sector should be doing? -- or the converse?
- 6. Is there a slippery slope here leading to further needs and expenditures?
- 7. Is this a nice-to-do expenditure that detracts from the ability to meet must-do needs?
- 8. Can you trust the use of the word "efficiency"? The efficiency of whom or what? Are users being penalized in order to make "the system" work better?
- 9. What share of available resources are being used to address what share of our problem?
- 10. Are we planning to make a large segment of the population miserable enough to get some of them to change their behavior? Is that rational in a pluralistic society? Would a technological solution do just as well or better?

Alan E. Pisarski July 27, 2009